S. No.	Questions	Reply	CIC/ Court's decision
1.	Whether copies of Annual Performance Appraisal (APA) can be provided to the employee?	Yes	Sukhdev Singh Vs. UOI and anrs., Civil Appeal No. 5892 of 2006. Date: 23.04.2013, Supreme Court. http://judis.nic.in/supremecourt/imgs1.aspx?filename=40357 Every entry in ACR of a public servant must be communicated to him/her within a reasonable period.
2.	Whether details of marks given by each of the selection committee members to be disclosed?	Yes a) Without disclosing the identity of committee members, as disclosure of the same would harm their safety and security. b) To the applicants only.	Bihar State Public Service Commission v. Syed Hussain Abbas Rizvi, Supreme Court. Civil Appeal No 9052 of 2012. Dated 13.12.2012, http://gic.gujarat.gov.in/UserFiles/file/C_A_9052%20of%202012.pdf
3.	Whether copy of answer sheet to be given to the candidate?	Yes	Central Board of Secondary Educ ation and Anr. v. Aditya Bandopa dhyay and Ors. CIVIL APPEAL NO.6454 OF 2011 SLP [C] No.7526/2009, Dated 09.08.2011, Supreme Court of India. http://jmi.ac.in/upload/menuupload/rti_SC_CBSE.pdf

			Every candidate has right to access his evaluated answer-books, by either inspecting them or take certified copies thereof. Unless the evaluated answer-books are found to be exempted under section 8(1)(e) of the RTI Act, the examining body is bound to provide access to an examinee to inspect and take copies of his evaluated answer books, even if such inspection or taking copies is barred under the rules/byelaws of the examining body governing the examinations.
4.	Whether information given by a beneficiary to the Fiduciary to be provided to the beneficiary?	Yes	Central Board of Secondary Educ ation and Anr. v. Aditya Bandopa dhyay and Ors. CIVIL APPEAL NO.6454 OF 2011 SLP [C] No.7526/2009, Dated 09.08.2011, Supreme Court. http://jmi.ac.in/upload/menuupl oad/rti SC CBSE.pdf That section provides that notwithstanding anything contained in the Act, there shall be no obligation to give any citizen information available to a person in his fiduciary relationship. This would only mean that even if the relationship is fiduciary, the exemption would operate in regard to giving access to the

			information held in fiduciary relationship, to third parties. There is no question of the fiduciary withholding information relating to the beneficiary, from the beneficiary himself. One of the duties of the fiduciary is to make thorough disclosure of all relevant facts of all transactions between them to the beneficiary, in a fiduciary relationship.
5.	Whether the procedure under Section 11 of the RTI Act is to be followed if the information pertains to third party and PIO intends to disclose?	Yes	R.K. Jain Vs. UOI & anrs., Civil Appeal No. 3878 of 2013, Supreme Court. http://judis.nic.in/supremecourt/imgs1.aspx?filename=40283
6.	Whether information relating to agreement/settlement entered into by the Public Authority with any other person/entity to be provided?	Yes (Provided that confidentiality period is over if the agreement contains such clause)	Jamia Milia Islamia Vs Shri Ikramuddin; W.P. (C) No. 5677/2011, Delhi High Court. Dated 22.11.2011. http://delhicourts.nic.in/nov11/J AMIA%20MILLIA%20ISLAMIA%2 OVS.%20SH%20IKRAMUDDIN.pd f The act of entering into an agreement with any other person/entity by a Public Authority would be a public activity, and it would involve giving or taking of consideration, which would entail involvement of public funds, the agreement would also involve public

			interest. Every citizen is entitled to know on what terms the agreement/settlement has been reached by the Public Authority with any other entity or individual and such information cannot be permitted to keep it under wraps. However, if such agreement/settlement contains information which is barred under Section 8 (1) (d) & (j), the same information need not to be furnished to the Applicant
7.	Whether question paper, model answer and instructions given to the examiner can be disclosed?	Yes (However, if the question papers are not openly available in market the company cannot be forced to share past question papers). All India Institute of Medical Sciences Vs. Vikrant Bhuria, LPA No. 487/2011, HIGH COURT OF DELHI Decided On: 28.05.2012. file:///C:/Users/0050 5842/Downloads/All India Institute Of Medical vs Vikrant Bhuria on 28 May, 2012.PDF	The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India Vs. Shaunak H. Satya and Ors. Civil Appeal No. 7571 of 2011 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 2040/2011). Dated: 02.09.2011, Supreme Court. http://gic.gujarat.gov.in/UserFile s/file/Civil%20Appeal%207571% 20of%202011.pdf Disclosure of the question papers, model answers and instructions in regard to any particular examination, would not harm the competitive position of any third party once the examination is held. In fact the question papers are disclosed to everyone at the time of examination. The Appellant voluntarily publishes the

			"suggested answers" in regard to the question papers in the form of a book for sale every year, after the examination. Therefore Section 8(1)(d) of the RTI Act does not bar or prohibit the disclosure of question papers, model answers (solutions to questions) and instructions if any given to the examiners and moderators after the examination and after the evaluation of answer scripts is completed, as at that stage they will not harm the competitive position of any third party.
8.	Whether copy of file notings can be provided under the RTI Act?	Yes (By hiding the identity of the officers i.e. name, designation and signature as it is exempted u/s 8(1) (e) & (g).	Union of India (UOI) Vs. R.S. Khan W.P. (C) 9355/2009 and C.M. No. 7144/2009, Delhi High Court. Dated: 07.10.2010 http://lobis.nic.in/ddir/dhc/SMD/j udgement/08-10-2010/SMD07102010CW9355200 9.pdf Unless file notings are specifically excluded from the definition of Section 2(f), there is no warrant for proposition that the word 'information' under Section 2(f) does not include file notings.

9.	Whether office of Attorney General of India is a Public Authority under the RTI Act?	Yes	Subhash Chandra Aggrawal vs. Office of the Attorney General of India. W.P.(C) 1041/2013, Delhi High Court. Dated 10.03.2015 http://lobis.nic.in/ddir/dhc/VIB/j udgement/10-03 2015/VIB10032015CW10412013 .pdf The public nature of the activities being carried on by the statutory corporations and the Government companies, in question persuaded the Courts to hold them as 'other authorities' under Article 12 of the Constitution of India. It is not disputed that the functions of the AGI are also in the nature of public functions. The AGI performs the functions as are required by virtue of Article 76(2) of the Constitution of India. In this view, the office of the AGI should be a public authority within the meaning of Section 2(h) of the RTI Act.
10.	Whether information regarding action taken by the Vigilance Department to be provided to the Complainant?	Yes	Manoj Kumar Singh v. HPCL: CIC/SS/C/2013/000653/S Dated 20.06.2014. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_SS_C_2013_00065 3_SH_M_134057.pdf

11.	Whether a certified copy of the departmental enquiry report conducted against any employee to be provided?	After the departmental enquiry is over and but not to any other person as it is exempted u/s 8 (1) (j) and 8 (1) (h) also by severing the exempted information under Section 10.	Shri Vinod Kapoor Vs. CPIO, Central Bank of India CIC/SH/A/2014/001151 & CIC/S H/A/2014/001515 Date: 16.07.2015 http://rti.india.gov.in/cic_decisio_ns/CIC_SH_A_2014_001151_M_159319.pdf
12.	Whether educational qualification and postings of an officer to be disclosed?	Yes	Santosh Vishwakarma v. IOCL, UP: CIC/SH/A/2014/000190 Dated 02.01.2015 http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_SH_A_2014_00019 0_SH_M_145572.pdf CPIO_of_Indian_oil_Corporation was directed to provide the information within 30 days as the information sought does not fall within any of the exemptions under section 8(1).
13.	Whether information about the service record of a deceased employee to be disclosed to his widow?	Yes	Bimla Devi v. IOCL, Noida: CIC/LS/C/2012/000649/SH Dated 12.11.2014. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_LS_C_2012_00064 9_SH_M_142283.pdf CIC directed the CPIO to provide to appellant as much information as can be traced out.

14.	Whether details of the	Yes	Jagdev Kumar Mitta v.
	completed Tours and travel expenses of an		Department of Posts: CIC/BS/A/2013/000193/4497
	official to be disclosed?		
			Dated 05.02.2014.
			http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d
			ecisions/CIC BS A 2013 00019
			3 4497 M 124659.pdf
15.	Whether total amount	Yes	Ved Prakash Dhiman v. BSNL:
	claimed by an official under medical claim in		CIC/BS/A/2013/000733/5008
	a particular period to be		Dated 30.04.2014.
	provided?		http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d
			ecisions/CIC_BS_A_2013_00073
	M/I II		3 5008 M 131140.pdf
16.	Whether information pertaining to Office	Yes	
	telephone directory to	(After severing the	
	be disclosed?	personal information	
		such as mobile	
		number and	
		residential address of	
		the concerned	
		officials under section	
		10 of the RTI Act,	
17.	Whether leave availed	2005). Yes	Shri Chetan Kothari Vs.
17.	by a particular	103	New India Assurance Co. Ltd.,
	employee in a particular		Mumbai
	period to be disclosed?		CIC/MP/A/2014/001086, Dated
	•		03.03.2015.
			http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d
			ecisions/CIC MP A 2014 00108
			<u>6 M 149497.pdf</u>
			In case the applicant wants the
			number of leave availed by a

			particular employee, it can be provided. However, collecting and collating leave availed by every employee and details thereof, attracts the provisions of Section 7(9) of the RTI Act.
18.	Whether information regarding pay Scale of employees to be provided?	Yes (Section 4 (x) of the RTI Act make it	Shri Chetan Kothari Vs. New India Assurance Co. Ltd., M umbai
		obligatory for the Public Authority to	CIC/MP/A/2014/001086
		disclose the monthly remuneration received by each of	Dated 03.03.2015
		its officers etc.)	http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_MP_A_2014_00108 6_M_149497.pdf
			·
19.	Whether bio data and application form of an official to be disclosed?	Yes	Bhagwan Chand Saxena v. Export Inspection Council of India.
			ICPB/A-9/CIC/2006
			http://cic.gov.in/CIC- Orders/CIC Order Dtd 0304200 6.pdf
			When a candidate submits his application for appointment to a post under a public authority, the same becomes a public document and he cannot object to the disclosure on the ground of invasion of privacy. Every
			citizen has the right to know whether the candidate fulfils the criteria for the post, which could be checked from the application/biodata.

20.	Whether an application of successful candidates for allocation of petrol pumps to be disclosed to a third party?	Yes	Kanta Panday v. IOCL, UP: CIC/SH/A/2014/000120 Dated 02.01.2015. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_SH_A_2014_00012 0_SH_M_145574.pdf By severing personal information. While offering the records for inspection, the CPIO should exclude any personal information concerning third party.
21.	Whether information regarding allotment of Retail Outlets (RO's) to be disclosed?	Yes	Shri Upmanyu Pandey v. HPCL: CIC/LS/A/2013/001364-SS, dtd. 09.01.2014. http://rti.india.gov.in/cic_decisio_ns/CIC_LS_A_2013_001364_M_123038.pdf. The entire process of allotment of RO's and the procedure followed for preparation of merit list should fall under public domain. Accordingly, the information sought should be furnished to the appellant.
22.	Whether information related to de- leasing policy and de- leased ROs to be provided?	Yes	Omprakash Mundhra v. HPCL: CIC/LS/A/2013/001760/SH. Dated 28.08.2014. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_LS_A_2013_00176 O_SH_M_138471.pdf

23.	Whether grounds for levy of VAT on sale of Liquefied Petroleum Gas and Lubricating Oil to be disclosed?	Yes	M. Pathavel v. IOCL, Chennai: CIC/SH/A/2014/000020 Dated 14.11.2014 http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_SH_A_2014_00002 O_SH_M_142535.pdf
24.	Whether copy of rules/regulation concerning operation/inspection(s) of Dealership / Distributorship to be provided?	Yes	Rajeev Kumar v. IOCL, Noida: CIC/SS/A/2013/002914/SH, Dated 30.10.2014. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_SS_A_2013_00291 4_SH_M_141603.pdf
25.	Whether copy of the dealership/ Distributorship agreement between IOC and dealer/ Distributor to be provided?	Yes Copy of Standard agreement to be provided.	S R Shukrulla v. BPCL: CIC/LS/A/2013/001773/SH Dated 10.09.2014. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_LS_A_2013_00177 3_SH_M_139296.pdf
26.	Whether information about action taken against Gas Agency for not maintaining the records for the prescribed period of time to be disclosed to a third party?	Yes	Rajeev Kumar v. IOCL, Noida: CIC/SS/A/2013/002914/SH Dated 30.10.2014. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_SS_A_2013_00291 4_SH_M_141603.pdf

27.	Whether information can be provided in CD/DVD to the applicant?	Yes (Provided the information is available in electronic form)	
28.	Whether information regarding the matters which are sub- judice to be provided?	Yes (Under RTI Act there is no exemption except as provided under section 8 and Section 9. However, it should be decided on case to case basis and if the information sought is prejudicial to the interest of the Corporation in the sub-judice matter, it can be denied on the ground that Courts are empowered to direct the concerned party to produce any relevant document on the request of applicant under the procedural laws (CPC, CrPC etc) and seeking such information under RTI Act amounts to forum hunting).	Ashish Kr. Roychoudhary v. IOCL: 5770/IC(A)/2010 Dated 17.08.2010. http://rti.india.gov.in/cic_decisions/5770_IC_A2010_M_40050.pdf

29.	Whether Minutes of Board Meetings to be provided?	Yes Provided information sought is specific and Minutes on any other issue can be severed under section 10 of the RTI Act, 2005.	Shri Nammi Narasinga Rao V. Dredging Corporation of India Ltd., Visakhapatnam: CIC/SS/A/2012/000872 Dated 27.07.2012. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_SS_A_2012_00087 2_M_87697.pdf
30.	Whether minutes of departmental promotion committee to be disclosed?	Yes (To the applicants/eligible candidates)	Santosh Yadav v. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare: CIC/SG/A/2011/001912/14145 Appeal No. CIC/SG/A/2011/001912 Dated 18.08.2011. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_SG_A_2011_00191 2_14145_M_65153.pdf
31.	Whether copies of Profit and Loss Account to be provided?	Yes	Sandeep Kumar v. NEPA Ltd.: CIC/SS/A/2012/003820 Dated 31.7.2013. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/CIC_SS_A_2012_00382 0_M_115861.pdf
32.	Whether information regarding details of selection procedure and rank list to be disclosed?	Yes	Mohammed Kutty v. IOCL: CIC/MA/A/2010/000283 Dated 16.07.2010. http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d ecisions/5622_IC_A2010_M_3 7953.pdf

33.	Whether information	Yes	K.K. Mishra v. IOCL:
	regarding procedure		CIC/LS/A/2011/001638
	and channels of		Dated 09.11.2011.
	supervision of LPG		http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d
	distribution to be		ecisions/CIC_LS_A_2011_00163
	provided?		8 M 73809.pdf
34.	Whether information	Yes	Bhai Jagpat v. HPCL:
34.		163	CIC/LS/A/2012/001551
			CIC/LS/A/2012/001551
	various activities		D-4 1 10 10 2012
	undertaken by public		Dated 10.10.2012.
	authority to be		11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.
	disclosed?		http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d
			ecisions/CIC LS A 2012 00155
			1 M 94501.pdf
			There is no harm in giving dates
			of various activities undertaken
			by Public Authority as sought in
			the RTI application subject to the
			other provisions of the RTI Act,
			2005.
35.	Whether number of gas	Yes	Surinder Kumar v. IOCL:
	cylinders supplied on a		CIC/LS/A/2012/001528
	particular address to be		Dated 19.9.2012.
	provided to a third		
	party?		http://rti.india.gov.in/cic_decisio
			ns/CIC_LS_A_2012_001528_M_
			<u>93334.pdf</u>
36.	Whether an application	Yes	R.K Murarka v. Central Bank of
	on behalf of		India: 579/ICPB/2007
	organization to be entertained?		Dated 18.06.2007.
	Critertaineu!		
			http://www.rti.india.gov.in/cic_d
			ecisions/Decision_18062007_16.
			<u>pdf</u>
			Even though the Commission had
			earlier taken the view that only

			natural persons could be considered to be "citizens" in terms of Section 3 of the RTI Act, yet, with the view to ensure that the beneficial provisions of the Act should not be denied on technical grounds. Applications on behalf of companies, associations, firms etc can be entertained provided proper authorization of the Board of the company, management committees of Associations or the resolution of partners are enclosed with the applications. Note- If no such authorization is provided by the applicant and name and designation of the application, the same should be entertained treating the application filed by applicant in his/her personal capacity unless the information sought pertains to the Concerned Organization itself.
37.	Whether information to the application exceeding in 500 words to be provided?	Yes (However, considering rule 3 of RTI Rules 2012, information of the queries beyond 500 words can be denied by referring this rule).	

